

### **REMARKS**

Claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-13, 16-21, 24, 26, 28-35, 37, 39 and 41-68 were pending and presented for examination and in this application. In an Office Action dated January 25, 2010, claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-13, 16, and 17 were allowed, claims 18-21, 24, 26, 28-35, 37, 39, and 41-68 were rejected. Applicants thank the Examiner for examination of the claims pending in this application.

Applicants have amended claims 5, 11, 16, 17, 19, 20, and 39, canceled claims 18, 21, 24, 26, 28-35, 37, and 41-67, and added claims 69-81 herein. Based on the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding objections and rejections, and withdraw them.

### **Summary of Interview**

Applicants thank Examiner Matthew J. Ludwig for the Examiner interview on March 23, 2010. During the interview, Applicants' representative, Edgar Perez discussed with the Examiner new claims 69-81. The Examiner agreed to enter the newly added claims after final and indicated that the new claims should be in condition for allowance since they recite similar limitations to the allowed claims.

### **Response to Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and §102(e)**

In the fifth paragraph of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 18-21, 26, and 28-32 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,680,223 ("Cooper"). In the seventh paragraph of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 33-35, 37, 39, 41-68 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2009/0254972 ("Huang"). The rejections are addressed together for purposes of clarity.

Applicants have canceled claims 18, 21, 24, 26, 28-35, 37, and 41-67 without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants have amended claims 19, 20, and 39 to be dependent on claim 4, which the Examiner has indicated is allowable. Further, dependent claim 68 is allowable since it is a dependent of allowed claim 4. Thus, the rejections under §102(b) and §102(c) are moot.

Applicants have added independent claim 69 which recites similar limitations to those of allowed claim 4. Thus, independent claims 4 and 69 are in condition for allowance. The dependent claims incorporate the limitations of their respective base claims and are therefore in condition for allowance.

Applicants respectfully invite the Examiner to contact Applicant's representative at the number provided below if the Examiner believes it will help expedite furtherance of this application.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: March 25, 2010

By: /Edgar Perez/  
Edgar Perez, Reg. No. 63,348  
Patent Agent  
FENWICK & WEST LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Phone: (650) 335-7821  
Fax: (650) 938-5200